Interview Summary

Application No. 10/002,406 Applicant(s)

Aita et al

Examiner

Sharon Kennedy

Art Unit 3762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Sharon Kennedy	(3)
(2) Edward Lynch	
Date of Interview Dec 4, 2003	•
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🖾 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1	
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a	
any other comments: The word "coextensive" does not appear in the specification or scope" according to The American Heritage Dictionary, was agreed to add the word at page 5, line 2, after "imag specification at page 9, line 4.	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or ion. "Coextensive" means "having the same limits, boundaries, 3rd Edition. There is ample support in the disclosure, and it reable". In addition, Mr. Lynch wanted to correct the typo in the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the summary thereof must be attached.) Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FE	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required